

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,434	TOURNIER-LASSERVE ET AL.
	Examiner Teresa E. Strzelecka	Art Unit 1637

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(2) Scott Lee.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

16,25, 27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Lee was contacted because, with the exception of claims 16, 25 and 27, the claims are in condition for allowance. Claim 16 depends from a cancelled claim 1, claim 25 depends from claim 16, and claim 27 has a typographical error 1283->T, instead of 1283 C-> T. These deficiencies could be corrected by Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Lee concluded that he needed to contact the client to obtain their approval. No response was received by April 13, 2007.